UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

In Re:

DOW CORNING CORPORATION,

Debtor.

_____

Linda L. Saenz,

Appellant,

v.

**Dow Corning Corporation and the Official
Committee of Tort Claimants,**

Appellees,

_____/

HON. DENISE PAGE HOOD

Case No.   99-CV-76010-DT

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

In a letter dated January 12, 2000 to the Court, Appellant Linda L. Saenz states that she

wishes to withdraw her appeal from the Bankruptcy Court's November 30, 1999 Confirmation Order

and the December 21, 1999 Opinion indicating that she is unable to pursue the appeal because of

health concerns. The Court, having considered Appellant's letter and otherwise having been fully

informed,

IT IS ORDERED that the above-captioned Appeal is DISMISSED without prejudice.

DENISE PAGE HOOD
United States District Judge

DATED: **|FEB 0 1 2000**

1/12/2000

United States District Court
231 Lafayette Blvd.
Room 133
Detroit, Michigan 48226

      ATTN. Judge Denise Page Hood
          States District Judge

      REFERENCE: LINDA L. SAENZ
      CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 99CV-76010

I wish to withdraw my Appeal to Judge Spector's order of 11-30-99
and opinion of 12-21-99.

While I was not totally opposed to those ladies who sought redress
from dow chemical I am opposed to further delays of the 3.2
billion dollar settlement as my health does not permit me to carry on the
fight nor do I have the funds to continue. It's certainly not a fair plan for
the loss of ones quality of life, my life has been destroyed.

I continue to receive paperwork day after day in reference to this Appeal,
this alone is affecting my illness. I know I cannot fight the fight that will
be upcoming in this Appeal situation because of my health, I know dow
realizes this with all of their hidden studies.

I pray for a timely conclusion to this very tragic Chapter in My and My
Families Lives.

Respectfully,

Linda L. Saenz

LINDA L. SAENZ
918 Wentworth Circle
Vista, CA 92083
SS#: 408-70-0068
PH/FAX: (760) 597-0380
(Phone number, 760-597-0389, is incorrect on the Appeals Forms, the
above is correct)